

## Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTOPNEY DOCKS NO. 1756-1795 960AL VUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT 09/070, 908 05/04/98 SAKAMA the street of th EXAMINER IM62/0816 PADGETT, M SIXBY FRIEDMAN LEEDOM & FERGUSON 2010 CORPORATE RIDGE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUTIE 600 1762 MCLEAN VA 22102 . 18718.99 DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
ADVISORY ACTION
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) N is extended to run from the date of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). (w 1/29/99 - cut here to find his )
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
a. XIThere is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: In claim 23, "a hydrogen gus" was introduced in line 2, hence in line 8, changing "said" to "a" evates anticelent basis prohlems therefore a new issue, as local debut bay "suid" to "a" in line 9 for "slicen containing gas" which was into lived in line 5. Anylogous problems we entrolled the 18th into his how the last with claims.  Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. When the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
Claims allowed:  Claims objected to:  Claims rejected: 23-50  However;  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 5m ft 112 mix lows, but not all 112 mix
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because upper unit is apparent Contention that some they teach an universities of film (ie a filling rule) in the solution is that they are unified to allow any amorphisms film as long as the four at the film as long as the four at the presented.
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other MARIANNE PADGETT
PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1109